



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,099	03/27/2001	Jan G.J. van de Winkel	MXI-170	2545

59819 7590 11/13/2006

LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP/MEDAREX
ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE
BOSTON, MA 02109-2127

EXAMINER

BLANCHARD, DAVID J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1643

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/820,099

Applicant(s)

VAN DE WINKEL, JAN G.J.

Examiner

David J. Blanchard

Art Unit

1643

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David J. Blanchard.

(3) Jill Gorney.

(2) Jeanne M. DiGiorgio.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 November 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



DAVID J. BLANCHARD
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the issue of new matter as it pertains to "ex vivo recombinant genetic fusion" raised in the advisory action mailed 10/12/2006. Applicant proposed amending the phrase to recite "non-natural recombinant genetic fusion". The examiner suggested just removing the term "ex vivo" to recite recombinant genetic fusion since the applied art of Mannhalter et al, van Spriel et al and Deo et al were all interpreted as reading on the "portion thereof" of monomeric IgA. However, in view of the amendment to claim 1, deleting the reference to the "portion thereof" of monomeric IgA, it is not necessary to amend the term "recombinant genetic fusion" because the art no longer reads on the claims. Also, briefly discussed the new matter issue of record (i.e., item no. 19 of the final Office Action mailed 7/11/2006), which applicant will consider filing a reply for further consideration by the examiner.